The Educator Evaluation Initiative

The Education Alliance of Michigan

Presented by
Michael Polzin & Donna Winthrop
School of Human Resources & Labor
Relations
Michigan State University

Background

The Education Alliance of Michigan, comprised of associations representing the key stakeholders in the K - 12 public education system, received a grant from the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service (FMCS) to engage in a collaborative union-management process to develop recommendations and guidelines to assist public school districts and the unions representing educators to develop effective educator performance evaluation systems.

Framework for Michigan Educator Evaluation

The <u>recommendations and guidelines</u> follow the values and principles outlined in

The Framework for Michigan Educator Evaluation

- The Framework is built on the premise that student performance improves when all the educators work diligently towards that common purpose. Individual educators improve individual student achievement when they work in collaborative environments while being accountable for the students.
- The Framework recommends that districts must establish common
 - Principles
 - goals,
 - understandings
 - language, and
 - professional training/learning.

Process to Develop Recommendations & Guidelines

- Education Alliance created a core working group to guide and oversee the process
- Input obtained from:
 - Stakeholder focus groups
 - Task groups that were assembled to consider in detail:
 - Educator evaluation models and issues
 - Student growth measures and issues
 - Collective bargaining process and issues
- Interviews with subject matter experts
- Extensive literature review

The Plan for the Education Alliance recommendations and guidelines

- Prepare a draft document for review
- Obtain feedback from stakeholders
- Obtain additional feedback April 15 Conference
- Finalize recommendations and distribute
- Provide information sessions around the state for districts and local unions

Develop district & school goals



Create standing union – management committee



Clarify
educator
evaluati
on
purpose,
goals,
values &
principle
s

Review educator evaluation & performan ce models



Define the parameter s of the model - what gets evaluated



Define who is evaluated and when, who evaluates, & use of evaluation

Negotiat
e the
educator
evaluatio
n system



Communicate all elements of the educator evaluation system



Develop procedur es & material s

Provide appropriate training to all educators in the district



Pilot the system



Identify and address issues as they arise during the pilot

Begin district wide implementati on



Educators
begin
process of
documenti
ng
practice &
student
growth



Educato rs reflect on their practice and their role in student growth

Educators

supervisor s review the evidence



Educators
and
supervisors
confer and
make
judgment on
educators'
performance



Evidence and outcome are kept for future performan ce discussion s

Standing union – management committee monitors to assure compliance, quality, and accuracy



Standing union – management committee uses monitoring and other feedback information to seek and achieve continuous improvement in the educator evaluation process