ELA.10.PT.2.07.164 C2 T7, T8, T9 and C4 T2, T3, T4 C2T7 Performance Task, draft

Sample Item Id:	ELA.10.PT.2.07.164
Title:	Participatory Budgeting
Grade/Model:	10/1
Claim(s):	Primary Claims
	2: Students can produce effective and well-grounded writing for a
	range of purpose and audiences.
	4: Students can engage in research / inquiry to investigate topics,
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and to analyze, integrate, and present information.
Primary Target(s):	These claims and targets will be measured by scorable evidence collected.
	Claim 2
	7. COMPOSE FULL TEXTS: Write full arguments about topics or
	sources, attending to purpose and audience: establish and support a
	claim, organize and cite supporting (sources) evidence from credible
	sources, provide appropriate transitional strategies for coherence,
	and develop a conclusion (e.g., articulating implications or stating
	significance of the problem) appropriate to purpose and audience.
	8. LANGUAGE & VOCABULARY USE: Strategically use precise
	language and vocabulary (including academic and domain-specific
	vocabulary and figurative language) and style appropriate to the
	purpose and audience when revising or composing texts.
	purpose and addience when revising or composing texts.
	9. EDIT/CLARIFY: Apply or edit grade-appropriate grammar usage
	and mechanics to clarify a message and edit narrative, informational,
	and persuasive/argument texts.
	Claim 4
	2. ANALYZE/INTEGRATE INFORMATION: Gather, analyze, and
	integrate multiple sources of information/evidence to support a
	presentation on a topic
	3. EVALUATE INFORMATION/SOURCES: Evaluate relevancy,
	accuracy, and completeness of information from multiple sources
	decaracy, and completeness of information from maniple sources
	4. USE EVIDENCE: Evaluate relevancy, accuracy, and completeness
	of information from multiple sources
Secondary	n/a
Target(s):	
Standard(s):	W-1, W-2d, W-3d, W-4, W-5, W-8, W-9, L-1, L-2, L-3a, L-6, SL-2,
	RI-1
DOK:	4
Difficulty:	High
Score Points:	TBD



Task Source:	Testing Contractor			
How this task contributes to the sufficient evidence for the claims: Item Type Target-Specific	In order to complete the performance task, students 1. Gather, select, and analyze information in a series of sources 2. Write an argumentative essay effectively demonstrating • a clearly-established claim about the topic • presentation of relevant supporting evidence, details, and elaboration consistent with the position, sources, purpose, and audience • effective organization of ideas • control of conventions, including usage, punctuation, capitalization, and spelling • control of language for purpose and audience PT Students will be required to enter text using a keyboard.			
Attributes (e.g., Accessibility Issues):	3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,			
Stimuli:	Sources (1 article, 3 documents; presented in the order in which they are used)			
	Article: What Is Participatory Budgeting? http://www.participatorybudgeting.org/			
	Document 1: Criteria for the Evaluation of Proposals for the City of Auburn			
	Includes an overview/introduction by the fictitious city of Auburn and a list of evaluation criteria. Examples of criteria could include: meeting a clearly identified community need; having a "useful life" of five years; of service to a broad segment of the community; not duplicative of existing structure or services; having a clearly outlined project plan and budget.			
	Document 2: Approved Proposals for the City of Auburn Includes brief descriptions of six citizen proposals that the fictitious city of Auburn has approved.			
	Document 3: Citizen Proposal The citizen proposal will include ways in which it both meets and does not meet the criteria outlined in article 2. The deficiencies should go beyond the obvious (e.g., costs more than allowed) to more substantial concerns (e.g., not truly of benefit to a broad enough population).			
Stimuli/Text Complexity:				
Acknowledgments:	Stimuli have been taken from the following sources:			
	What Is Participatory Budgeting? http://www.participatorybudgeting.org/			
	Additional sources to be added: Criteria for the Evaluation of Proposals for the City of Auburn Approved Proposals for the City of Auburn			

Smarter Balanced

ELA Sample PT Item Form C2 T7+8+9 and C4 T2+3+4

	Citizen Proposal
Task Notes:	

Task Overview (120 total minutes):

Title: Participatory Budgeting

Part 1 (35 minutes): Ultimately tasked with writing an argumentative essay on participatory budgeting, students will read an article and two documents, taking notes on these sources. They will then respond to three constructed-response questions addressing the research skills of analyzing and evaluating information.

Part 2 (85 minutes): Students will compose full-length argumentative essays on a participatory budget proposal, referring to their notes as needed. Students will be allowed access to the texts they viewed in part 1. Pre-writing, drafting, and revising will be involved.

Scorable Products: Student responses to the constructed-response questions at the end of part 1 and the essay completed in part 2 will be scored.

Teacher preparation / Resource requirements

The teacher should assure that sufficient blank paper and writing utensils are available for student note taking. Teacher should conduct standard preparation, registration, etc., for computer-based testing. The testing software will include access to spell-check and a thesaurus, but not to grammar-check.

Teacher Directions:

Students are given the texts, research, and any additional information about the essay.

Part 1 (35 minutes)

- Initiate the online testing session.
- Alert the students when 15 minutes have elapsed.
- Alert the students when there are 5 minutes remaining in part 1.

Stretch Break

Part 2 (85 minutes)

- Initiate the testing part 2.
- Allow students to access the sources and their answers to the constructed-response questions presented in part 1. They will not be allowed to change their answers.
- Once 20 minutes have elapsed, suggest students begin writing their essays.
- Alert the students when 45 minutes have elapsed.
- After students have been writing for 45 minutes, alert them that there are 20 minutes remaining and suggest they begin revising their essays.
- Alert the students when there are 10 minutes remaining in the session.
- Close the testing session.

Pre-Task Activity:



There are no specific pre-task activities to be conducted.

Time Requirements: The Performance Task will take 120 minutes in one session.

Student Directions:

Session 1 (35 minutes)

Your assignment:

You will read three sources, including one article and two documents, and then write an argumentative essay about participatory budgeting.

Steps you will be following:

In order to plan and compose your essay, you will do all of the following:

- 1. Read three sources.
- 2. Answer three questions about the sources.
- 3. Plan and write your essay.

Directions for beginning:

You will now read three sources. Take notes because you may want to refer back to your notes while writing your essay. You can refer back to any of the sources as often as you like while you are taking notes during this session and the next session. Your notes will be your basis for writing your final draft.

(article) (document 1) (document 2)

Questions

Use the remaining time to answer the questions below. Your answers to these questions will be scored. Also, they will help you think about the sources you've read and viewed, which should help you write your essay. You may click on the appropriate buttons to refer back to the sources when you think it would be helpful. You may also refer to your notes. Answer the questions in the spaces provided below them.



- 1. Explain the philosophy behind the concept of "participatory budgeting." Support your answer with details from the sources.
- **2.** Analyze how "What Is Participatory Budgeting?" is useful for understanding the documents from the city of Auburn. Use details from the sources to support your answer.
- **3.** Explain how both documents from the city of Auburn would help a citizen who wanted to write a proposal. Use details from the documents to support your answer.

Part 2 (85 minutes)

You will now have 85 minutes to review your notes and sources, and plan, draft, and revise your essay. You may use your notes and refer back to the sources. You may also refer to the answers you wrote to the questions at the end of part 1, but you cannot change those answers. Now read your assignment and the information about how your essay will be scored, then begin your work.

Your Assignment

The city of Auburn has asked members of the community to submit proposals as part of its participatory budgeting program. Proposals that meet the city's criteria will be placed on a ballot for citizens to vote upon.

You are a member of the city's evaluation committee. Read the following citizen proposal and write an argumentative essay in which you evaluate whether it has met the criteria and recommend whether it should or should not be placed upon the ballot. Support your claim with details from what you have read.

(document 3)



How your essay will be scored: The people scoring your essay will be assigning scores for

- **Statement of purpose/focus**—how well you clearly state your claim on the topic, maintain your focus, and address the alternate and opposing claims
- **Organization**—how well your ideas logically flow from the introduction to conclusion using effective transitions and how well you stay on topic throughout the essay
- Elaboration of evidence—how well you provide evidence from sources about your opinions and elaborate with specific information
- Language and Vocabulary—how well you effectively express ideas using precise language that is appropriate for your audience and purpose
- **Conventions**—how well you follow the rules of usage, punctuation, capitalization, and spelling

Now begin work on your essay. Manage your time carefully so that you can:

- plan your essay
- write your essay
- revise and edit for a final draft

Word-processing tools and spell-check are available to you.



Key and scoring information for questions:

1. Claim 4, Target 4

2-point Research (Grades 6–11): Use Evidence Rubric (Claim 4, Target 4)			
2			
1	The response gives limited evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas.		
0	A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas.		

2. Claim 4, Target 3

2-point Research (Grades 6-11):						
	Evaluate Information/Sources Rubric (Claim 4, Target 3)					
2	The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility,					
	completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources.					
1	1 The response gives limited evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility,					
	completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources.					
0	• A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to evaluate the					
	credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and					
	sources.					

3. Claim 4, Target 3

2-point Research (Grades 6-11): Evaluate Information/Sources Rubric (Claim 4, Target 3)				
2	The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources.			
1	The response gives limited evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources.			
0	A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources.			



Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence		Conventions	
	Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization	Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	Conventions	
4	The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: • claim is clearly stated, focused, and strongly maintained • alternate or opposing claims are clearly addressed¹ • claim is introduced and communicated clearly within the context	The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: • effective, consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies • logical progression of ideas from beginning to end • effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose • strong connections among ideas, with some syntactic variety	The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves substantial depth that is specific and relevant: • use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, relevant, and concrete • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques	The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise, language: • use of academic and domainspecific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling	



	1		1	1	
3	The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: • claim is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present • context provided for the claim is adequate	The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end • adequate introduction and conclusion • adequate, if slightly inconsistent, connection among ideas	The response provides adequate support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves some depth and specificity but is predominantly general: • some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise • adequate use of some elaborative techniques	The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language: use of domainspecific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose	The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: some errors in usage and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling



 The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: may be clearly focused on the claim but is insufficiently sustained claim on the issue may be somewhat unclear and unfocused 	The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of basic transitional strategies with little variety • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end • conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak • weak connection among ideas	The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details, and achieves little depth: • evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques	The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • use of domainspecific vocabulary may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose	The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling
--	---	---	--	--



1	The response may be related to the purpose but may offer little relevant detail: may be very brief may have a major drift claim may be confusing or ambiguous	The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude	The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: Use of evidence from sources is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant	The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary may have little sense of audience and purpose	The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscured	
0	A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to write full arguments about topics or sources, attending to purpose and audience.					

¹ Begins in 7th grade